

# AI Programming

*CS662-2013S-18*

## *Utility & Value of Information*

David Galles

Department of Computer Science  
University of San Francisco

# 18-0: Making decisions

---

- At this point, we know how to describe the probability of events occurring.
  - Or states being reached, in agent terms.
- Knowing the probabilities of events is only part of the battle.
- Agents are really interested in maximizing performance.
- This means making the correct decisions as to what to do.
- Often, performance can be captured by *utility*.
- Utility indicates the relative value of a state.

# 18-1: Expected Utility

---

- In episodic, stochastic worlds, we can use expected utility to select actions.
- An agent will know that an action can lead to one of a set  $S$  of states.
- The agent has a utility for each of these states.
- The agent also has a probability that these states will be reached.
- The *expected utility* of an action is:
- $\sum_{s \in S} P(s)U(s)$
- The principle of maximum expected utility says that an agent should choose the action that maximizes expected utility.

## 18-2: Example

---

- Let's say there are two levers.
  - Lever 1 costs \$1 to pull. With  $p = 0.4$ , you win \$2. With  $p = 0.6$  you win nothing.
  - Lever 2 costs \$2 to pull. With  $p = 0.1$  you win \$10. with  $p = 0.9$  you lose \$1 (on top of the charge to pull).
- Should you a) pull lever 1 b) pull lever 2 c) pull neither?

## 18-3: Example

---

- $EU(\text{lever 1}) = 0.4 * 1 + 0.6 * -1 = -0.2$
- $EU(\text{lever 2}) = 0.1 * 8 + 0.9 * -3 = -1.9$
- $EU(\text{neither}) = 0$
- Lever 3 gives the maximum EU.
- TV digression - this is the choice contestants are faced with on “Deal or No Deal.” The banker offers them a price slightly above the expected utility, and yet most contestants don’t take it. Why?

## 18-4: Example: Vegas

---

- The typical roulette wheel has 38 numbers. (1-36, plus 0 and 00).
- 1-36 are either red or black.
- The payoff for betting on a single number is 35:1
  - In other words, if the number you picked comes up, you win \$35. Otherwise, you lose \$1.
- What is the expected utility of betting on a single number?

## 18-5: Example: Vegas

---

- The typical roulette wheel has 38 numbers. (1-36, plus 0 and 00).
- 1-36 are either red or black.
- The payoff for betting on a single number is 35:1
  - In other words, if the number you picked comes up, you win \$35. Otherwise, you lose \$1.
- What is the expected utility of betting on a single number?
- $\frac{1}{38} * 35 + \frac{37}{38} * -1 = -0.052$

## 18-6: Example: Vegas

---

- The typical roulette wheel has 38 numbers. (1-36, plus 0 and 00).
- 1-36 are either red or black.
- The payoff for betting on a single number is 35:1
  - In other words, if the number you picked comes up, you win \$35. Otherwise, you lose \$1.
- What if you decide to “spread the risk” and bet on two numbers?

# 18-7: Example: Vegas

---

- The typical roulette wheel has 38 numbers. (1-36, plus 0 and 00).
- 1-36 are either red or black.
- The payoff for betting on a single number is 35:1
  - In other words, if the number you picked comes up, you win \$35. Otherwise, you lose \$1.
- What if you decide to “spread the risk” and bet on two numbers?
- $\frac{2}{38} * 34 + \frac{36}{38} * -2 = -0.105$

## 18-8: Example: Vegas

---

- The typical roulette wheel has 38 numbers. (1-36, plus 0 and 00).
- 1-36 are either red or black.
- The payoff for betting on color (red or black) is 1:1
  - In other words, if you bet 'red' and a red number comes up, you win \$1. Otherwise, you lose \$1.
- What is the expected utility of betting on 'red'?

## 18-9: Example: Vegas

---

- The typical roulette wheel has 38 numbers. (1-36, plus 0 and 00).
- 1-36 are either red or black.
- The payoff for betting on color (red or black) is 1:1
  - In other words, if you bet 'red' and a red number comes up, you win \$1. Otherwise, you lose \$1.
- What is the expected utility of betting on 'red'?
- $\frac{18}{38} * 1 + \frac{20}{38} * -1 = -0.052$

# 18-10: Regarding Preferences

---

- In order for MEU to make sense, we need to specify some (hopefully reasonable) constraints on agent preferences.
- Orderability. We must be able to say that  $A$  is preferred to  $B$ ,  $B$  is preferred to  $A$ , or they are equally preferable. We cannot have the case where  $A$  and  $B$  are incomparable.
- Transitivity. If  $A < B$  and  $B < C$ , then  $A < C$ .
- Continuity. If  $A < B < C$ , then there is a scenario where the agent is indifferent to getting  $B$  and having a probability  $p$  of getting  $A$  and  $1 - p$  chance of getting  $C$ .

# 18-11: Rational Preferences

---

- Monotonicity. If two actions  $A$  and  $B$  have the same outcomes, and I prefer  $A$  to  $B$ , I should still prefer  $A$  if the probability of  $A$  increases.
- Decomposability. Utilities over a sequence of actions can be decomposed into utilities for atomic events.
- These preferences are (for the most part) quite reasonable, and allow an agent to avoid making foolish mistakes.

# 18-12: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- Utility comes from economics
  - Money is often used as a substitute for utility.
- Preferences for money behave oddly when dealing with small or large amounts.

# 18-13: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- You have two choices:
- Choice # 1
  - Win \$1 Million for sure
- Choice #2
  - Win \$2 Million with probability 0.51
  - Win nothing with probability 0.49
- Which do you pick?

# 18-14: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- You have two choices:
- Choice # 1
  - Win \$1 Million for sure
  - Expected winnings of \$1 Million
- Choice #2
  - Win \$2 Million with probability 0.51
  - Win nothing with probability 0.49
  - Expected winnings of  $>$  \$1 Million
- Most people pick Choice #1
  - First \$1 Million has huge impact, second \$1 Million less so

# 18-15: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- Flip a coin.
  - On heads, you win \$4
  - On tails, you win nothing
- How much would you be willing to pay to play this game?

# 18-16: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- Flip a coin until it comes up tails.
  - Count the number of heads  $h$
  - Win  $\$2^h$
- How much would you be willing to pay to play this game?

# 18-17: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- Flip a coin until it comes up tails.
  - Count the number of heads  $h$
  - Win  $\$2^h$
- Expected return:

$$1/2 * 1 + 1/4 * 2 + 1/8 * 4 + 1/16 * 8 + \dots$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/2 = \infty$$

# 18-18: **Utility, Money, and Risk**

---

- Does this mean utility theory is broken?

# 18-19: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- Does this mean utility theory is broken?
  - No, just that the Utility of money is non-linear
  - Closer to logarithmic

# 18-20: Utility, Money, and Risk

---

- Utility comes from economics
  - Money is often used as a substitute for utility.
- Preferences for money behave oddly when dealing with small or large amounts.
- For example, you will often take more chance with small amounts, and be very conservative with very large amounts.
- This is called your *risk profile*
  - convex - risk-seeking (Vegas)
  - concave - risk-averse (Insurance)
- Typically, we say that we have a *quasilinear* utility function regarding money.

# 18-21: Gathering information

---

- When an agent has all the information it can get and just needs to select a single action, things are straightforward.
  - Find the action with the largest expected utility.
- What if an agent can choose to gather more information about the world?
- Now we have a sequential decision problem:
  - Should we just act, or gather information first?
  - What questions should we ask?
    - Agents should ask questions that give them useful information.
    - “Useful” means increasing expected utility.
  - Gathering information can cost time/money.

## 18-22: Example

---

- An agent can recommend to a prospecting company that they buy one of  $n$  plots of land.
- One of the plots has oil worth  $C$  dollars; the others are empty.
- Each block costs  $C/n$  dollars.
- Initially, agent is indifferent between buying and not buying. (why is that?)

## 18-23: Example

---

- Suppose the agent can perform a survey on a block that will indicate whether that block contains oil.
- How much should the agent pay to perform that survey?

# 18-24: Example

---

- How much should the agent pay to perform that survey?
- $P(oil) = 1/n$ .  $P(\neg oil) = (n - 1)/n$ 
  - If oil found, buy for  $C/n$ . Profit =  $C - C/n = (n - 1)C/n$
  - If oil not found, buy a different block.
  - Probability of picking the oil block is now:  $1/(n - 1)$  Expected Profit:  $C/(n - 1) - C/n = C/(n * (n - 1))$ .
- So, the expected profit, given the information is:
- $\frac{1}{n} \frac{(n-1)C}{n} + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{C}{n(n-1)} = \frac{C}{n}$

## 18-25: Example

---

- Without doing the survey, expected profit is 0
- With doing the survey, expected profit is  $\frac{C}{n}$
- Company is willing to pay up to  $C/n$  (the expected value of the plot itself) to do the test.
  - This is the *value of that information*.

# 18-26: Value of Perfect Information

---

- Let's formalize this.
- We find the best action  $\alpha$  in general with:
- $EU(\alpha) = \max_{a \in \text{actions}} \sum_{i \in \text{outcomes}} U(i)P(i|a)$
- Let's say we acquire some new information  $E$ .
- Then we find the best action with:
- $EU(\alpha|E) = \max_{a \in \text{actions}} \sum_{i \in \text{outcomes}} U(i)P(i|a, E)$
- The value of  $E$  is the difference between these two.

# 18-27: Value of Perfect Information

---

- However, before we do the test, we don't know what  $E$  will be.
- We must average over all possible values of  $E$ .
- $VPI(E) = (\sum_{j \in \text{values}_E} P(E = j)EU(\alpha|E = j)) - EU(\alpha)$
- In words, consider the possibility of each observation, along with the usefulness of that observation, to compute the expected information gain from this test.
- In general, information will be valuable to the extent that it changes the agent's decision.

## 18-28: Example

---

- Imagine that you are on a game show and are given a choice of three possible doors to open.
- If you open door number 1, you will win \$10.
- If you open door number 2, you have a 50% chance of winning nothing, and a 50% chance of winning \$25.
- If you open door number 3, you have a 20% chance of winning \$20, and an 80% chance of winning \$10.
- Which door should you choose?

# 18-29: Example

---

- $EU(\text{door1}) = 10$
- $EU(\text{door2}) = 0.5 * 0 + 0.5 * 25 = 12.5$
- $EU(\text{door3}) = 0.2 * 20 + 0.8 * 10 = 12$
- Door 2 is best.

## 18-30: Example

---

- Now, suppose that the host offers to tell you honestly what you'll get if you open door number 2. How much would you pay for this information?
- Note: you can change your mind about which door to open after the host gives you this information.

## 18-31: Example

---

- There are two cases: either door 2 pays 0 or it pays 25.
- If it pays 25, we should choose it. This happens 50% of the time.
- If it pays 0, we should choose door3, which has an expected payment of 12. This happens 50% of the time.
- So, our utility will be:  $0.5 * 25 + 0.5 * 12 = 18.5$
- Our EU before we asked was 12.5, so the information is worth 6.

## 18-32: Example II

---

- Drive across canyon
  - Go around, takes 10 hours
  - Go through the canyon, takes 2 hours without traffic, and 15 hours with traffic.  $P(\text{traffic}) = 0.5$
- Which route should you take, to minimize the expected travel time?

## 18-33: Example II

---

- Drive across canyon
  - Go around, takes 10 hours
    - Expected time = 10 hours
  - Go through the canyon, takes 2 hours without traffic, and 15 hours with traffic.  $P(\text{traffic}) = 0.5$ 
    - Expected time =  $2 * 0.5 + 15 * 0.5 = 8.5$  hours

## 18-34: Example II

---

- Drive across canyon
  - Go around, takes 10 hours
  - Go through the canyon, takes 2 hours without traffic, and 15 hours with traffic.  $P(\text{traffic}) = 0.5$
  - Drive to an overlook, see if there is traffic
- How long could you spend driving to / from the overlook, and have it still be worthwhile?

## 18-35: Example II

---

- Takes time  $n$  to drive to overlook and back
- If there is traffic, go around, for a total time of  $10 + n$
- If there is no traffic, go through, for a total time of  $2 + n$
- Expected time =  $0.5 * (10 + n) + 0.5 * (2 + n) = 6 + n$
- Without driving to overlook, best expected time = 8.5 hours
- As long as driving to the overlook takes less than 2.5 hours, worth it