

Biometrics&CAPTCHA

11/29/2010 EJ Jung



➢ Guest lecture on Wed. December 1st.

- readings in the Schedule page
- report after the lecture extra credit for quiz

Service lab presentation on Mon. December 6th

- be timely (10 minutes)
- spend more time on slides 4 and 5

> HR 535 for Wed. December 8th.



- Nothing to remember
- Passive
 - Nothing to type, no devices to carry around
- Can't share (usually)
- Can be fairly unique
 - ... if measurements are sufficiently accurate



Identification vs. authentication

- Identification = associating an identity with an event or a piece of data
 - Example: fingerprint at a crime scene
- Authentication = verifying a claimed identity
 - Example: fingerprint scanner to enter a building

> How hard are biometric readings to forge?

- Difficulty of forgery is routinely overestimated
- Analysis often doesn't take into account the possibility of computer-generated forgery

Revocation is difficult or impossible



"Fraud rate" vs. "insult rate"

- Fraud = system accepts a forgery (false accept)
- Insult = system rejects valid user (false reject)
- Increasing acceptance threshold increases fraud rate, decreases insult rate
 - Pick a threshold so that fraud rate = insult rate
- For biometrics, U.K. banks set target fraud rate of 1%, insult rate of 0.01% [Ross Anderson]
 - Common signature recognition systems achieve equal error rates around 1% not good enough!



Face recognition (by a computer algorithm)

• Error rates up to 20%, given reasonable variations in lighting, viewpoint and expression

Fingerprints

- Traditional method for identification
- 1911: first US conviction on fingerprint evidence
- U.K. traditionally requires 16-point match
 - Probability of false match is 1 in 10 billion
 - No successful challenges until 2000
- Fingerprint damage impairs recognition
 - Ross Anderson's scar crashes FBI scanner



Iris scanning

- Irises are very random, but stable through life
 - Different between the two eyes of the same individual
- 256-byte iris code based on concentric rings between the pupil and the outside of the iris
- Equal error rate better than 1 in a million
- Best biometric mechanism currently known
- Hand geometry
 - Used in nuclear premises entry control, INSPASS (discontinued in 2002)

Voice, ear shape, vein pattern, face temperature





© Scott Adams, Inc./Dist. by UFS, Inc.



- Criminal gives an inexperienced policeman fingerprints in the wrong order
 - Record not found; gets off as a first-time offender
- Can be attacked using recordings
 - Ross Anderson: in countries where fingerprints are used to pay pensions, there are persistent tales of "Granny's finger in the pickle jar" being the most valuable property she bequeathed to her family

> Birthday paradox

• With false accept rate of 1 in a million, probability of false match is above 50% with only 1609 samples







[Ballard, Monrose, Lopresti]



Generated by computer algorithm trained on handwriting samples



Making an Artificial Finger from a Residual Fingerprint

Materials

A photosensitive coated Printed Circuit Board (PCB)

"10K" by Sanhayato Co., Ltd .

Solid gelatin sheet "GELATINE LEAF " by MARUHA CORP







slide 13





Yokohama Nat. Univ. Matsumoto Laboratory



Gelatin Liquid



Drip the liquid onto the mold.



Put this mold into a refrigerator to cool, and then peel carefully.



Yokohama Nat. Univ. Matsumoto Laboratory





Mold: 70JPY/piece (Ten molds can be obtained in the PCB.)

Gummy Finger: 50JPY/piece

Yokohama Nat. Univ. Matsumoto Laboratory





Enhanced Fingerprint

Captured Fingerprint Image of the Gummy Finger with the device H (a capacitive sensor)

Yokohama Nat. Univ. Matsumoto Laboratory

[Matsumoto] •



 Alternative to gelatin
 Play-Doh fingers fool 90% of fingerprint scanners

- Clarkson University study
- Suggested perspiration measurement to test "liveness" of the finger



[Schuckers] -



- stands for Completely Automated Public Turing test to tell Computers and Humans Apart
- Reverse Turing test
 - Turing test: how to tell an intelligent computer
 - from Wikipedia
 - it proceeds as follows: a human judge engages in a natural language conversation with one human and one machine, each of which try to appear human; if the judge cannot reliably tell which is which, then the machine is said to pass the test.
 - remember Blade Runner?
- Human Interactive Proof



- Botnets can do even more
- Crawlers may ignore robot.txt
- Bots leave malicious contents as comments, postings, emails and collect informations
- > Web spam is legal (spam is not)
 - btw, <u>http://www.ncsl.org/programs/lis/CIP/hacklaw.htm</u>



Search engine

- more links, higher ranking
- e.g. Google's page rank

> Advertisement

mimic "word of mouth"

Phishing

• disguise as suggestions and recommendations



- Prevent dictionary attacks in any password system (Pinkas & Sander)
 - after failures, as for CAPTCHA and the password
- Deter massive attacks
 - botnets may not pass CAPTCHA
 - humans are much slower
 - ask for CAPTCHA for any suspicious activity



- Unpublished manuscript by Moni Naor first mentions automated Turing test in 1997, but not proposed or formalized.
- Alta Vista patent in 1998 first practical example of using slightly distorted images of text to deter bots.
 - broken later by OCR



- In 2000, formalized by Luis von Ahn, Manuel Blum & Nicholas J. Hopper of Carnegie Mellon; John Langford of IBM
- "A CAPTCHA is a cryptographic protocol whose underlying hardness assumption is based on an AI problem."

www.captcha.net

> Advancing AI and security together

• battle of breaking and improving



- Fext (ASCII/Unicode)
- Image
- > Speech
- Animation
- ≻ 3-D
- Combinations of all above



ASCII/Unicode ©4Pt¢h4

- > Change text to look-alike: SPAM is \$P4M. Fools simplest text matching.
- Accented or non-English chars: Spám
- > Chars to words: uce@ftc.gov --> uce at ftc dot gov
- URL/HTML entities: COPY becomes ¢0Ρ¥ or %430P%59
- Better than nothing, but easy to crack
- > This is not technically CAPTCHA



Gimpy, ez-gimpy

- Pick a word or words from a small dictionary
- Distort them and add noise and background

Gimpy-r

- Pick random letters
- Distort them, add noise and background

Simard's HIP

- Pick random letters and numbers
- Distort them and add arcs



















First generation

- Pick a word from dictionary
- Random placement, font, distortion, background pattern
- Overlapping words serve as noise.
- Frequently cracked and improved.
 - <u>http://www.cs.sfu.ca/~mori/resea</u> <u>rch/gimpy/</u>
- In current version, 5 pairs of overlapped words. User identifies 3 words.









- Pick a word or words from a small dictionary
- Distort them and add noise and background
- > 99% success in breaking
 - Distortion Estimation Techniques in Solving Visual CAPTCHAs, CVRP 2004





- Pick random letters
- Distort them, add noise and backgroun
- 78% success in breaking Gimpy-r
 - Distortion Estimation
 Techniques in Solving Visual
 CAPTCHAs, CVRP 2004





Visual pattern recognition puzzle

- > Example: thick vs. thin
- User is presented with a new block and needs to pick left or right



Image recognition with keywords

Procedure

- display four images with the same keyword
- provide a random set of keywords to choose from
- user needs to pick the common keyword









Choose a word that relates to all the images.







TIP: You can type the first letter of a word and then use the down arrow to find it.

Submit

© 2004 Carnegie Mellon University, all rights reserved.



OCR-base attacks

- <u>http://sam.zoy.org/pwntcha/</u>
- Pretend We're Not a Turing Computer but a Human Antagonist

Heuristics

• vary position, warp, noise, background, colors, overlap, randomness, font, angles, language,

> Accessibility problem for vision-impaired users

- audio as well as visual
- <u>http://www.w3.org/TR/turingtest/</u>





> Spell in synthesized or recorded voices

> Voice recognition vs. user's miss rate

- Use with visual CAPTCHA for increased accessibility
 - may help attackers guess correctly



- Can use Flash, MPEG, animated GIF
- > Often combined with speech
- > Weaknesses of Image CAPTCHA apply
- Usually easier to crack due to extra data for pattern matching to analyze
- Much higher processor and traffic load
- Not practical in most cases



tEABAG_3D

- http://www.ocr-research.org.ua/index.php?action=teabag
- Renders the password in 3D image
- More difficult to crack then 2D images
- More resources on server
 - high load graphic processing
- Can be combined with other methods





Beating CAPTCHA by humans

Man-in-the-middle

- copy CAPTCHA from the target
- post on the attacker's website
- forward the answer to the target
- CAPTCHA factory
 - <u>http://taint.org/2008/03/05/1227</u> <u>32a.html</u>
- Reuse the session id
 - <u>http://www.puremango.co.uk/cm</u>
 <u>breaking_captcha_115.php</u>





Free software

http://captcha.net