cs 220: Introduction to Parallel Computing Measuring Performance

Lecture 16

- MPI Collective Communication
- Measuring Performance
- Keeping Time
- Putting it together: estimating pi

MPI Collective Communication

- Measuring Performance
- Keeping Time
- Putting it together: estimating pi

MPI Collective Communication

- Thus far we have focused on point-to-point communication
 - Process A sends to Process B
 - Process C waits to hear from Process D
- This is fine-grained, and it can be difficult to coordinate when we're working with thousands of cores
- MPI offers some functions that ease this burden: collective communication

Broadcasting

int MPI_Bcast(

void *buffer,

int count,

MPI_Datatype datatype,

int root,

MPI_Comm comm)

- Mostly the same as MPI_Send!
- root tells us which process will send the message to the rest
 - Nice because we don't need if (p == 0) { ... }

Bcast: A Note

- One unintuitive thing about MPI_Bcast: all the participating processes call the function
- Process 8 can't call MPI_Bcast and then the rest just MPI_Recv to get the value!
 - Instead, they all just call MPI_Bcast and retrieve the result
- So remember folks: when you use MPI_Bcast, make sure all the processes involved are calling the function!

Barrier Synchronization

int MPI_Barrier(MPI_Comm comm)

- This blocks execution until all processes execute it
 - Lets us sync up processes
- Great for situations where we want all processes to check in

Reduction Operations

int MPI_Reduce(

void* send_data,

void* recv_data,

int count,

MPI_Datatype datatype,

MPI_Op op,

int root,

MPI_Comm communicator)

- MPI can even take care of collecting data for us
- Summing up data from several processes
- Finding the maximum value

Etc.

We'll see this today

MPI_Scatter

int MPI_Scatter(const void *sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, void *recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)

- Somewhat like a broadcast
- Sends data to all the processes
 - From root
- Automatically divides the input based on process ranks

MPI_Gather

int MPI_Gather(

> const void *sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, void *recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)

- Picks up the scattered pieces and puts them back together
- Elements are transferred back to **root**
- What would scatter+gather work well for?

- A Few Technical Details
- MPI Collective Communication
- Measuring Performance
- Keeping Time
- Putting it together: estimating pi

Measuring Parallel Performance

- There are two common metrics for measuring the performance of our parallel algorithms:
 - Speedup
 - Parallel Efficiency
- Evaluating these is crucial: if we're not gaining anything from parallelism, there's no reason to do it
- A closely related concept is scalability
 - How our algorithm performs when we give it more resources

Speedup

The speedup of a parallel program is given by:

$$S = rac{T_{serial}}{T_{parallel}}$$

- How long the serial (original, non-parallel) program takes divided by the parallel run time
- Best speedup possible: S = p
 - Where p is the number of processes

Efficiency

The parallel efficiency of a program is given by:

$$E = \frac{S}{p} = \frac{T_{serial}}{pT_{parallel}}$$

- The speedup divided by the number of processes
- Best efficiency possible: 1

Scalability (1/2)

- It's possible to write an algorithm that has high efficiency on two, four, eight cores, **but**:
 - Maybe when you try to run it on 16 cores efficiency starts to drop
- There are many reasons this can happen
 - Your algorithm requires a lot of communication
 - Your processes spend a lot of time blocked
 - In some cases, you're only as fast as the slowest worker

Scalability (2/2)

- A program that scales can use additional resources effectively
 - Doubling the number of processes should halve the execution time!
- We can measure this by calculating parallel efficiency
- If efficiency decreases as we add processes, then the algorithm is **not** scalable

- A Few Technical Details
- MPI Collective Communication
- Measuring Performance
- Keeping Time
- Putting it together: estimating pi

Keeping Track of Time

- We've done a little bit of timing in our past lectures
 - Using the time command
- Not fine-grained
 - We can only test how long it takes to run the entire program
 - What happens when we prompt for a value? What about application startup time (from the OS)?
- We need to be able to track things at a finer level

The clock() function

- C includes a clock function that tells us the number of clock ticks since the program started
 - Originally intended to be the number of CPU cycles but is implementation-specific
- Different hardware has different clock resolutions
 - Often clock() is a fairly low-resolution timer
- To translate the abstract notion of clock ticks into time, we can use CLOCKS_PER_SEC
 - clock() / CLOCKS_PER_SEC

The gettimeofday() function

- On Unix-based systems, this function provides the wall clock time, generally with 1 us precision
 - Also hardware dependent
- Wall clock time: the actual time taken for something to run
 - As opposed to CPU time
- Usually a better option than clock(), if you have it
- #include <timer.h>

And finally, MPI_Wtime()

- In MPI programs, we have a third option: MPI_Wtime()
- This returns a double with the current wall clock time
 - Uses the best timer available on your platform
- For our MPI programs, we'll use this

Calculating Time Elapsed

```
• Let's time an operation:
double time1 = MPI_Wtime(); /* Start */
solve_worlds_problems(true);
/* Now we wait... */
double time2 = MPI_Wtime(); /* End */
```

How long did it take?

printf("Time: %.10lf\n", time2 - time1);

- A Few Technical Details
- MPI Collective Communication
- Measuring Performance
- Keeping Time
- Putting it together: estimating pi

Leibniz Formula for pi

• We can estimate pi with the following formula:

$$1-rac{1}{3}+rac{1}{5}-rac{1}{7}+rac{1}{9}-\cdots=rac{\pi}{4}.$$

- The more iterations, the more accurate our estimate gets
- We can split these iterations up across multiple processes to speed things up
- MPI to the rescue!